

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/579,515	05/12/2006	Masaharu Shimakawa	03500.111239.	6547	
	7590 03/02/201 CELLA HARPER &	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1290 Avenue o	f the Americas	SEO, i	SEO, JUSTIN		
NEW YORK,	NY 10104-3800	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2861			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/02/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/579,515	SHIMAKAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JUSTIN SEO	2861	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 16 February 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	of the final rejection.							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07		FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	LED WITHIN TWO					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	bliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	iled within two months	s of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w			appeal. Since a					
AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			cause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		E below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beld		I alam an almostifi dam si						
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 	tter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying tr	ne issues for					
(a) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: The proposed amendment presents new combidation so they may have multiple antecedents that require time for scope of independent claims I and I found that so not amendment does incorporate a dependent claim for the Gees 7 CFR -11 (See 3 of CFR -1).	nations of claims such as 1+5+2 or 1+ f claims, such as the ones above, may it or further consideration. Furthermore, materially reduce or simplify the issues dependent claim 1, it also changes the	5+9. Even though the on nclude mutually exclusive the proposed amendme for appeal. While the p	ve elements or nts change the proposed					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	·							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to on showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a					

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the agent of the reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the agent of the reconsidered but does not place the agent of the reconsidered but does not place the agent of the reconsidered but does not place the agent of the reconsidered but does not place the agent of the reconsidered but does not place the agent of the reconsidered but does not place the agent of the reconsidered but does not place the agent of the reconsidered but does not place the agent of the reconsidered but does not place the agent of the reconsidered but does not place the agent of the reconsidered but does not place the agent of the reconsidered but does not place the agent of the reconsidered but does not place the agent of the reconsidered but does not place the agent of the reconsidered but does not place the agent of the reconsidered but does not place the reconsidered but does not plac

11. \square The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other: _____.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/MATTHEW LUU/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2861

Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100223